Name of the activity being assessed	Trading Standards Enforcer	ment – Adop	otion of the Intelligence Operating Mo	del	
Directorate / Department	E&O	Service	Public Protection & Environmental Health	Assessment Author	Gary Johnston
Is this a new or existing activity?	<ul><li>☑ New</li><li>☐ Existing</li></ul>	Responsi assessme	ble manager / director for the ent	Gary Johnston/Martin E	Eden
Date EIA started	18/01/2019	Implemen	tation date of the activity	01/04/2019	

## **SECTION 1 - ABOUT YOUR ACTIVITY**

How was the need for this activity identified? i.e. Why are we doing this activity?	trading standards legislation allotted to	them. For this reason, a transparent	h Darwen are insufficient to enforce the full range of t and effective prioritisation mechanism is required. To sism is available – called the Intelligence Operating
What is the activity looking to achieve?		ards enforcement in BwD, and that w	transparent decisions are made in relation to orkload throughout the year is prioritised and address urgent matters.
What are the aims and objectives?	The aim is to ensure that prioritisation Prioritisation of workload is done via so		en in a way which represents current best practice. nent relating to vulnerability of victims.
Services currently provided (if applicable)	A similar mechanism is in place at pre-	sent, albeit locally developed.	
Type of activity	<ul><li>☐ Budget changes</li><li>☐ Change to existing activity</li></ul>	<ul><li>□ Decommissioning</li><li>□ Commissioning</li></ul>	<ul> <li>□ New activity</li> <li>☑ Other [replacement of prioritisation mechanism]</li> </ul>

Version 2.3

What resources will support in Please identify additional sources					als; legislation etc.		
Analysis of complaint stats from	m Flare, officer experien	ce and trai	ning.				
Who are you consulting with? I	How are you consulting v	with them?	? (Please inser	t any information a	round surveys and c	onsultations unde	rtaken)
Trading standards staff.							
	Service users	⊠ Yes	□ No	☐ Indirectly			
VAVIa a daga tha a ativity imposso	Members of staff	⊠ Yes	□ No	☐ Indirectly			
Who does the activity impact upon?*	General public	☐ Yes	⊠ No	☐ Indirectly			
upon:	Carers or families	☐ Yes	⊠ No	☐ Indirectly			
	Partner organisations	☐ Yes	⊠ No				_
		☐ Age	☐ Disability	☐ Gender	☐ Marriage &	☐ Pregnancy	☐ Vulnerable
Does the activity impact	Positive impact		,	reassignment	Civil Partnership	& maternity	groups
positively or negatively on any of the protected		□ Race	☐ Religion	□ Sex	Sexual	□ Deprived	☐ Carers
characteristics as stated			or belief		orientation	communities	
within the Equality Act		☐ Age	☐ Disability	☐ Gender	☐ Marriage &	☐ Pregnancy	☐ Vulnerable
(2010)?*	Negative impact		•	reassignment	Civil Partnership	& maternity	groups
		☐ Race	☐ Religion	□ Sex	☐ Sexual	□ Deprived	☐ Carers
The groups in blue are not			or belief	⊠ Candar	orientation	communities	∇ \/ulnereble
protected characteristics			□ Disability	<ul><li>☑ Gender reassignment</li></ul>	<ul><li>☑ Marriage &amp;</li><li>Civil Partnership</li></ul>	<ul><li>☑ Pregnancy</li><li>&amp; maternity</li></ul>	
(please refer to p. 3 of the	No impact		⊠ Religion	_	⊠ Sexual	<ul><li>☑ Deprived</li></ul>	groups
guidance notes)		⊠ Race	or helief	⊠ Sex	orientation	communities	

Version 2.3

<sup>\*</sup>If no impact is identified on any of the protected characteristics a full EIA may not be required. Please contact your departmental Corporate Equality & Diversity representative for further information.

**Departmental E&D Lead Signature** 

ventions of trading standards legislation are identified which involve aviour, this will be regarded as an aggravating factor in the prioritisation orate Prosecution Policy requires that legislation is enforced equally and
orate Prosecution Policy requires that legislation is enforced equally and
itates consideration of wider impacts of a course of action, including impacts
⊠ No
s must be justified with evidence and clear reasons, highlight how the activity

While the IOM represents an improvement in terms of best practice, providing a more structured and streamlined approach to prioritisation and tasking, in practice there is no change in relation to how offending which targets the vulnerable, or which is discriminatory, will be prioritised.

Author Signature

Date

18/01/2019

Click here to enter a date.

The above signatures signify acceptance of the ownership of the Initial EIA and the responsibility to publish the completed Initial EIA as per the requirements of the Equality Act 2010.

Date

18/01/2019

Version 2.3

D.J. Andrews